## Case 1:21-cv-09221-KPF Document 384 Filed 02/20/24 Page 1 of 2



Nixon Peabody LLP Tower 46 55 West 46th Street New York, NY 10036-4120 Attorneys at Law

nixonpeabody.com

@NixonPeabodyLLP

Paul F. Downs
Counsel

T / 212.940.3029 F / 646.603.3480 pdowns@nixonpeabody.com

February 16, 2024

## VIA ECF

Hon. Katherine Polk Failla United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square, Room 2103 New York, NY 10007



RE: Huzhou Chuangtai Rongyuan Investment Management Partnership et al v. Qin; No. 1:21-cv-09221-KPF Request of Third-Party Duo "Emma" Liu to Seal Documents

## Dear Judge Failla:

Pursuant to Section 9(c)(ii) of Your Honor's Individual Rules of Practice in Civil Cases and the parties' Stipulated Protective Order dated January 3, 2023, Dkt. No. 92 (the "Confidentiality Order"), which also covers discovery of third-parties, third-party "Emma" Duo Liu ("Ms. Liu") writes to respectfully request that the Court permit the sealing of Ms. Liu's Reply Memorandum of Law in Support (filed contemporaneously herewith) filed in support thereof.

A party seeking to file a document under seal needs to address the presumption in favor of public access to judicial documents. *See, e.g., Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga*, 435 F.3d 110, 119-20 (2d Cir. 2006). And any redaction or sealing of a court filing needs to be narrowly tailored to serve whatever purpose justifies the redaction or sealing and must be otherwise consistent with the presumption in favor of public access to judicial documents. *Id.* 

The Court must balance this common law presumption of access against competing interests, including "the privacy interests of those resisting disclosure." *Lugosch*, 435 F.3d at 120 (quoting *United States v. Amodeo*, 71 F.3d 1044, 1050 (2d Cir. 1995)). Thus, the issue is whether "the privacy interests of the [moving party] outweigh the presumption of public access." *GoSMiLE*, 769 F. Supp. 2d at 649-50.

Given the nature of this matter (a private matter to collect a judgment from Respondent Qin) and the fact that Ms. Liu is not a judgment-debtor in this action, but merely a third-party whose private affairs are being dragged into this dispute and given the ongoing criminal investigation about which the Court has been informed, we submit that there is a more than sufficient basis to seal the Reply Memorandum of Law in support thereof. These documents contain confidential information of the kind that is deserving of protection and restricting public access, and therefore third-party Ms. Liu respectfully requests that the Court grant this request.

Hon. Katherine Polk Failla February 16, 2024 Page 2

Attorneys at Law nixonpeabody.com @NixonPeabodyLLP

We note that for presumably similar reasons, various other docket entries in this case have been sealed.

In the event that the Court were to deny this request, Ms. Liu requests a Court conference at the Court's earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Paul F. Downs

Counsel

**PFD** 

To: All parties of record via ECF

Application GRANTED. The Clerk of Court is directed to maintain docket entry 383 under seal, viewable only to the Court and the parties.

The Clerk of Court is further directed to terminate the pending motion at docket entry 382.

February 20, 2024 Dated:

New York, New York

SO ORDERED.

HON. KATHERINE POLK FAILLA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Katherin Palle Fails